

(Edited on July 6, 2020)

## Law vs. Law Enforcement

**They want to punish us to the Full extent of the law and deny us the full protection of the law.**

I am asking for viewers to sign the new and updated complaint on petition as witnesses to fraud on the court perpetrated by the named respondents on the petition based on their having viewed the public records and arguments to the courts on the website amku.org and sygds.com; for failure to grant relief from judgements rendered in absence of subject matter jurisdiction when properly challenged and failure to provide a legally sworn complaint file on the October 25,2006 record to refute the merits.

American citizen detained without a formally sworn complaint against him to protect his wealthy assailants and the detainment has been maintained by officials indulging in fraud on the court. They refuse to hold any one accountable, refuse to have an evidentiary hearing, refuse to show how they have jurisdiction and the fraudulent acts deprived John of his constitutional protection. All of his properly presented challenges is a voided by misdirection and procedural bars that don't apply, and it seems there is no end to judges that lawlessly protect each other.

**State whether or not you have an invested interest as a witness or complainant.**

Sign to oppose corruption by officials

I, John W. Dobbs, being duly sworn, depose, say and declare by my signature, that the facts and statements attested to through this complaint and supplemental affidavit are true and correct and filed in good faith, respectful of court resources. John moved this court for declaratory judgment and relief as we certify the following statements of undisputed facts demonstrating fraud on the court as prima facie evidence that the integrity of the legal construction of my detainment has been undermined, with the result of rendering my participation ineffective.

(1) We certify that the subject matter jurisdiction of this Court regarding case # 48-2006-CF-015201-0 is called into question as it was invoked by fraud on the court.

(2) We certify that on October 25, 2006, John was arrested for alleged offenses involving at least five other men who posed a threat to John's female companion and John, by the Orange County Sheriff's Department despite its awareness that they were approached unprovoked and John was assaulted and battered in a continuous episode in and around the parking lot of a bar with topless dancers. At least 3, of the no less than 5 men, were highly intoxicated. At least 4 of these men were convicted felons. John was the only man classified as Black or African American and the only man arrested despite his, at the time, uncontradicted demonstration of justifiable use of force. Neither of the no less than 5 men were arrested, and neither they nor the 4 eyewitnesses provided any evidence contradicting John's claim of defending himself and another. Despite the fact that no complaint was made against him, Detective David Phelan disregarded Fla. Stat. 776.032's. Immunity clause and his duty to

act in accordance with the conclusions of a reasonable person's assessment of the totality of the circumstances standard. This is clearly shown in his response to John's exasperated question to him during his interrogation of John, where John asked, "what do you think happened?" and he answers, "You get into a fight with four guys, you pull out your knife and go to work", [1:14:50](#). Thus, despite his own reasonable conclusion, along with that of Crime Scene Investigator

Allison Wright, who responds to John's question, "Have you ever heard of anything like this?" and she answers, "self defense, yea," [1:22:31](#) at that same interrogation, while photographing my multiple injuries and, the assessment of the original detaining officer, Deputy Herbert Mercado, who refers to John as the victim twice while testifying at the Adversary Preliminary Hearing on Dec.22, 2006, Detective David Phelan commits fraud on the court by alleging probable cause. [John W. Dobbs Interrogation by Phelan \(in part\)](#).

(3) We certify that the submitting of the instrument, alleging to be a charging affidavit, in absence of being sworn to before a person authorized to administer oaths, by Detective Phelan to legitimize the arrest and detainment, demonstrates fraud on the court as it in fact does not qualify as an "affidavit".

(4) We certify that the court docket titled "case History/Register of Actions" for case number 48-2006-CF-015201-0 gives notice, while referring to John by his county jail inmate number 06048638, that John's October 25, 2006, detainment and processing was not based on an actual complaint as the clerk alerts the court that "None" was filed. Thus demonstrating that any allegation of having probable cause to detain or seek prosecution against him by any officer of Orange County Sheriff's Office, officer of the court, or, prosecutor on behalf of the state, including the First Appearance Hearing findings of Judge Jerry Brewer, especially in light of John's self defense claim, manifested fraud on the court, as the demonstrable evidence illustrates that John was charged with crimes that never occurred.

(5) We certify that the designated Assistant State Attorney James Altman, alleged to have signed the charging "Information" on November 20, 2006, acted outside his authority at the time having failed to have taken his Oath of Office, and thereby perpetrated fraud on the court.

(6) We certify that Assistant State Attorney James Altman allegedly signing the charge "Information" in good faith after having received testimony under oath from material witnesses, which if true, would constitute the charged offenses, is false; and his signature and submitting of the "Information" to the clerk was done manifesting fraud on the court.

(7) We certify that the photocopy of the unsigned charging "Information" stamped by the clerk as having been filed on November 20, 2006, provided, upon request for discovery to the Public Defender's Office, verified by John's trial

representative P.D. Catherine Chien's letter to his mother on June 9, 2008, and was acknowledged as an issue, but not one that should be brought up on direct appeal by John's temporary appellate counsel, P.D. Kevin Holtz, as verified by his letter to John on February 20, 2008; as well as by the order dismissing John's original petition for writ of habeas corpus filed in the 14<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit for Jackson County, Florida in 2008, where Judge William L. Wright held that after reviewing the record it was in fact an issue that should be raised on direct appeal. This is sufficient demonstration that the copy alleging to have been signed on Nov. 2006, is an actual backdated one, manifesting fraud on the court.

(8) We certify that, in light of the fact that former Orange County Circuit Judge Stan Strickland silenced John's Objection to the "Information" and his request for dismissal based on the fact that no complaint or evidence of culpability was submitted by the alleged victims, right after John specifically invoked the protection and immunity of Florida's "Stand Your Ground Law", Fla.Stat.776.013 (3) at the beginning of the Dec.22, 2006, Adversary Preliminary Hearing, and used his Affirmative defense against John, finding probable cause, claiming that the standard was only for him to hear information that led him to believe John was the "one involved", despite the preponderance of the evidence, the absence of demonstrable evidence supporting count 3 and, absolutely no evidence being presented in support of counts 1,2,4 and 5, John was prevented from effectively participating in the actions against him and further victimized by fraud on the court.

(9) We certify that alleged victim Andre Blanco makes the only sworn criminal accusation against John, starting with his Dec. 22, 2006, Adversary Preliminary Hearing Testimony alleging the altercation initiated with John parking directly next to his vehicle, jumping out, lunging, and stabbing him in the face. In light of his elaborate testimony demonstrating that he has no personal knowledge to support the pivotal theory that the violence initiated with him being stabbed, as he saw no knife and recognized nothing that would indicate that he was stabbed at the time, only that he was punched really hard. While John admitted to punching him really hard and stabbing him further into the altercation, his claim providing the only theory of guilt from a witness throughout John's entire judicial process, renders the evidence circumstantial and insufficient. And his transcript of taped interview with detectives where he claims he only entered the fight to help a friend, and which shows the detective had to inform him of where and how many times he was cut or stabbed because he says he never knew he was stabbed because of how much he drank, added to crime scene investigator (CSI) findings that their cars were actually parked six parking space apart, and that the altercation actually took place at the rear passenger side of John's vehicle, along with the testimony of alleged victim Francisco Gotay, and other witnesses, such as Deanna Washington, Phillip Wasfall, and, Justin Idle, show that Blanco approached John and it started as a fist fight which Gotay joined before anyone was stabbed. The prosecutor pursuit of the charges demonstrates a diligent will to defraud

John and perpetrate fraud on the court, especially as no other relevant evidence exists.

(10) We certify that Public Defender Mellisa Vickers' submitting of the defense and jury instructions on "Necessity" derived from the "Duress or Necessity" defense and instructions, at John's trial, which, in essence, amounts to a guilty plea where a defendant places themselves at the mercy of the court, without advising or discussing any such defense or pleading with him, knowing John never presented evidence to support any criminal aspect of the charges, and being fully aware of his actual innocence and immunity claim of justifiable use of force, demonstrates her intent to defraud John, and manifest fraud on the court.

(11) We certify that prosecutor for the State Kimberly Laskoffs' practice of law before the court, acting as an Assistant State Attorney in John's case, without having at the time taken the oath of office, manifests fraud on the court.

(12) We certify that prosecutor Kimberly Laskoff knowingly used perjured testimony from Andre Blanco as demonstrated in the contradictory statements and fabricated evidence he offered in his statements, pointed out in the # (9) certification of this complaint and supplemental affidavit. She must also be held to have been aware of his statement to detectives that he didn't know what happened between William Troy and John and also his Adversary Preliminary Hearing testimony that he never saw William Troy and John in a confrontation, then his uncorrected trial testimony that he saw William Troy and John wrestling and scuffling, at which time William is on the ground; evidence unsupported by any corroborating evidence and the first and only demonstration inferring Troy at a disadvantage or as the victim. She also perpetrated fraud on the court with her opening statement that the altercation initiated with Blanco and John "in a fighting stance," abandoning Blanco's testimony and the only theory of guilt submitted by an alleged victim, misleading the jury, as to what she set out to prove, what she was burdened to prove, and misleading John as to the accusations John had been defending against. Then further in closing arguments she abandoned all prior theory and evidence by making fraudulent claims asserting John "was mad" and "Fired up" and "the only thing" John was finding "it necessary to protect was his ego," building off her entirely fabricated verbal exchange between Deanna and John; also that Blanco's friends came to rescue him based on information they each denied having, and the conflicts in their stories "really didn't matter" and rather demonstrates their honesty; telling the jury John cut himself, rather than being cut by his assailants as John testified, by what witnesses say John was being struck by, that may have been a "key or a ring"; contradicting all her witnesses and alleged victims to get a conviction with statements like the "only time they start to engage in a brawl is after John "start to stab and cut all of them"; telling the jury it doesn't to think about John's fear for the well being of his girlfriend because that's a magic trick"; and there's no way John didn't get out of his car and commit second degree murder or manslaughter and aggravated battery, despite the fact that John's theory of events, along with the evidence, clearly demonstrated the "way" she abused the jurors' trust. Her presentation of the case was fraud

on a massive scale, especially at the close of the case and at a time when John's further participation was prevented. She basically begged for his conviction, despite her failure to prove the corpus delicti, using her capacity as an officer of the court to infer her knowledge of facts off the record that warrant John's conviction, rendering his participation ineffective.

(13) We certify that the cumulative fraud and misconduct Evinces collusion and a conspiracy by the Respondents To punish him for exercising a Constitutional Right.

#### Questions

- (B) Whether John is entitled to relief from detainment And convictions for crimes that according to Fla. Stat. 77.6013 (3) (2006) never occurred, especially when any inference of the corpus delicti was established by fraud?
- (C) Whether John can be deprived of the protections of Fla. Stat. 776.013 (3) based on the personal conduct of the Respondents thereby selectively applying the "Stand Your Ground "law?

#### Conclusion

Thus, based on this complaint and supplemental affidavit, John requests declaratory judgment and demands the commencement of the remedies within the jurisdiction of this Honorable Court. Notary sheet attached.

View his more of his court trial and legal documents on his website:

[AmericanMeKingUniversal.org](http://AmericanMeKingUniversal.org) and [amku.org](http://amku.org)

Hear in his own words what happen to him for yourself please go to

" Revelations 2020 King U Exposes the Game from Prison " part 1of 4 (4part video)

<https://youtu.be/keHYOMimEfA>

Please pass this petition on and sign his petition on Chang.org

<http://chnng.it/bzqmBWrS>

John's website has been hacked into many times.

Respectfully submitted,  
John W. Dobbs, DC (inmate no.) COO618  
Okeechobee Correctional Institution  
3420 N.E. 168<sup>TH</sup> Street  
Okeechobee, Florida 34972 – 4824